UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,892	08/21/2001	Ismo Itkonen	100720-00050 (HEIN 6369 18.938	
	6304 7590 05/18/2007 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP		EXAMINER	
575 MADISON AVENUE			TRUONG, THANH K	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/933,892	ITKONEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit			
	Thanh K. Truong	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 0.6. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 29, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 3, 6-19 and 20-30 is acknowledged.
- 3. <u>Examiner's note</u>: The Applicant's specification discloses that: "When the overlap wrapping is made as shown in FIGS. 7, 7a 9, 9a in order to obtain a staggered wrap, the wrapping station 6 is <u>transferred laterally</u> in the roll axis direction 7 <u>during</u> the wrapping operation" (emphasis added) (page 10, lines 15-16), and "when the overlap wrapping is made to obtain the wrap shown in FIGS. 6, 6a the roll is <u>not moved laterally during</u> wrapping" (emphasis added) (page 10, lines 28-29).

Consequently, only one method, one of the two methods mentioned above, can be performed at any one time, because one method requires that the roll of web material (5) is being moved laterally during wrapping, and the other method requires that the roll of web material (5) is not moved laterally during wrapping.

Accordingly, the method step of claim 1, as recited, requires that the dispensing of the wrapping takes place <u>after</u> the step of moving the web material roll (5). In other words, claim 1 limitation is the embodiment of FIGS. 6, 6a. However, claim 4, the dependent claim of claim 1, is referred to the embodiment of FIGS. 7, 7a - 9, 9a. Therefore, the

Art Unit: 3721

examiner maintains that claim 4, as recited, is indefinite, and thus the 112, second paragraph rejection is repeated as follow:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As mentioned in paragraph 3 above, the process steps of claim 1 and claim 4 are of two different embodiments of the claimed invention, and it is improper to claim two different embodiments in a single claim (mainly claim 4). Furthermore, there is no support in the disclosure (drawing or specification) for the combining of the two embodiments in a single process. In another words, there are more than one specie in the claimed invention, and claim 1 is not a generic claim, therefore, all the claims that are dependent of claim 1 can not be including other species than the specie of claim 1.

Claim 1, the phrase "and optionally", lines 15, 16 and 17, is vague and indefinite, because the word "optionally" does not positively recite the claimed limitation, and thus it is unclear what is the claimed limitation. In other words, it is unclear whether the limitation following the word "optionally" is being claimed at all.

Similarly, the word "optionally" renders claims 2 and 4 indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/933,892

Art Unit: 3721

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fulton, Jr. (4,607,476) – hereinafter Fulton.

Fulton discloses a method comprising the steps of:

providing a wrapper dispensing system for dispensing wrapping (21) onto a load (the product that is being wrapped), the wrapper dispensing system comprising a wrapper dispensing station (not number) and a wrapper dispensing means (19),

supporting the load on a roll rotation station (10, 16, 17),

rotating the roll rotation station with the load supported thereon (the roll rotating station is rotated by turn table 10), the wrapper dispensing system remaining stationary relative to the rotating supported roll,

dispensing wrapping onto the rotating supported roll from the wrapper dispensing station via the dispensing means (19) so that a first wrapping is wound so as to form on the rotating supported load,

moving the roll rotation station stepwise laterally in the axial direction of the rotating supported roll relative to the wrapper dispensing system after dispensing the first wrapping (Figure 2 – column 2, lines 67-68 and column 3, lines 1-5), and

dispensing wrapping (21) onto the rotating supported load from the wrapper dispensing station via the dispensing means (19) so that a second wrapping is wound so as to form on the rotating supported load, the second wrapping being formed to overlap the first wrapping in a stagewise manner (Figures 1, 2 and 4).

Fulton discloses the claimed invention, but it does not expressly disclose that: the load is a roll of web material, and the second (or third) wrapping is wound after the step of moving the roll rotation station.

However, Fulton disclosure indicates that:

the apparatus and the method as claimed is applicable to any product (column 2, lines 3-7), and

the roll rotation station (10, 16, 17) is capable of rotating and moving up and down or stop along the rotation axis.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified the Fulton method steps so that it will carry a load of a roll of web material, and to perform the overlap wrapping step as recited in claim 1, since it is well known and within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known design configuration steps on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice.

The modified Fulton further discloses: the first or second or third wrapping is wound in a slightly helical manner onto the load (column 3, lines 10-12, and Figure 2), and wherein the slightly helical manner by which the wrapping is wound is performed by the slight movement of the roll rotation station laterally relative the wrapper dispensing system during the first or second or third wrapping is wound (column 2, lines 67-68 and column 3, lines 1-12).

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,892 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

**Conclusion** 

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tkt

May 15, 2007.

THANH K. TRUONG

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700